

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | . FILN | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/628,831 07/28/2003 | | 28/2003 | James Jannard | NOCODE2.005CP1 | 5897 | |
| 20995 | 7590 | 01/30/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP | | | | DANG, HUNG XUAN | | |
| 2040 MAII FOURTER | N STREET NTH FLOOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| IRVINE, (| | • | | 2873 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/30/200 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Sv | |
|---|--|
| AL. | |
| | |
| e address | |
| ALLOWANCE. r reply to a pplication in t for Continued | |
| on, whichever is later. In rejection. TION. See MPEP | |
| e appropriate extension le appropriate extension e final Office action; or al rejection, even if | |
| n | |
| ow); | |
| or simplifying the | |
| claims. | |
| filed amendment | |
| s NOT place the | |
| n were newly | |
| ered and an | |

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/628,831 JANNARD ET **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** Hung X. Dang 2873

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence

THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the ap condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
|---|--------------------|
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE 706.07(f). | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ext fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate ext fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office acti (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, ever timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | tension ion; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or | the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | nent |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place tapplication in condition for allowance because: | the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-35</u> . | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |
| 10. ☑ Other: <u>Attached here an IDS</u> Hung X Dang Primary Evaminer |) |

Art Unit: 2873

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added language to claims 14, 17 and the newly added claims 36-41 have not been previously
considered and would require further consideration and/or seach. These limitations were not previously in the claims.